

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/542,994 | 07/20/2005 | Matthew M Deangelis | 20030096 | 2610 | |
| BAE SYSTEM | 7590 01/19/2007 S INFORMATION AND | EXAMINER | | | |
| ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS INTEGRATION INC. 65 SPIT BROOK ROAD P.O. BOX 868 NHQ1-719 NASHUA, NH 03061-0868 | | | LOBO, IAN J | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| NASHUA, NH | 03001-0868 | 3662 | | | |
| | | | MAN DATE | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/19/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/542,994 | DEANGELIS ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| lan J. Lobo | 3662 | | |

| | lan J. Lobo | 3662 | |
|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | Correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | RALLOWANCE | |
| 1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aftice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | Appeal. To avoid aba | nce, which |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| THE THAT SIX MONTHS from the mailing | a date of the final rejectiv | On. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing da | 36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Officite of the final rejection, e | te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in 3 | avoid dismissal of the 7 CFR 41.37(a). | e appeal. Since |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | isideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ | ΓE below); | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without capcaling a | | | he issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11 | orresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 1 See attached Notice of Non-Co- | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Col | npliant Amendment (| ² FOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allownon-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) be how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will ded below or appended. | be entered and an ex | planation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>7 and 9-15</u> . | | • | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affidavi | tice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is | be entered necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | l and/or appellant fails | s to provide a |
| NEGOEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | e because: |
| 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P 3. Other: | TO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | 1 |
| | K | las toto | fo. |
| | - | lan J. Lobo Primary Examiner | |

Art Unit: 3662

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment "wherein each of the drivers is in a longitudinal spaced relationship from the adjacent driver" is a new issue.